Case4:11-cr-00742-SBA Document5 Filed04/15/11 Page1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,)	Case No. CR - II -	70369	MA	
	v.	Plaintiff,)	STIPULATED OR UNDER THE SPE			
TV	SHAR	TAMBE,)		O _A	TO SEXING CALFORNIA	
Speedy Trial A	Act fror iance or	n APRIL 15, 2 utweigh the best inter	011 toAPE\ rest of the publi	2011, the Land the defendant in this continuance on the	finds that the er a speedy trial.	nds of justice served See 18 U.S.C. §	
		e to grant a continuar B U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)		kely to result in a misc	arriage of justic	ce.	
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).						
	Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).						
<u> </u>	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).						
<u> </u>	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).						
7 314 (IT IS	SO OR	ether ordered and Rule 5.1 (c), adered.) THAT TI, FOR THE F	HE IS POLLED PERSONS STATE	PURSUANT ED THE	FECO120.	. •
DATE	ED: 4	1310		LAUREL BEELE. United States Mag			
STIPU	JLATE	D: Officer for Defe	endant	Assistant United S	States Attorney		
		mil	spro Cara'a			D. J. Subart No.	

District Court Criminal Case Processing